AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS

650 938 5200

None of the claims is currently amended.

| | 1 | 1. (Original) In a computer network comprising nodes, a method of adminis- |
|----------------|----|---|
| 6(7 | 2 | tering sending of teleconference data over the network comprising: |
| | 3 | determining an allocated bandwidth corresponding to the sending; |
| hu, | 4 | communicating the allocated bandwidth to the nodes; |
| han | 5 | inhibiting use of bandwidth by any of the nodes in excess of the allocated band- |
| W _e | 6 | width; |
| | 7 | monitoring at least one nodal happiness factor; |
| , | 8 | adjusting the allocated bandwidth in response to the at least one nodal happiness |
| | 9 | factor; |
| | 10 | dynamically measuring bandwidth use of program elements at a node; and |
| | 11 | assigning bandwidth among program elements, such that the total of assigned |
| | 12 | bandwidth is not greater than said allocated bandwidth. |
| | 1 | 2. (Original) The method of claim 1, further comprising: |
| | 2 | determining for each program element at each node a desired bandwidth, the de- |
| | 3 | sired bandwidth being a total minimum bandwidth at which all program |
| | 4 | elements have sufficient bandwidth to operate at maximum speed; and |
| | 5 | determining for each program element a happiness factor, the happiness factor be- |
| | 6 | ing proportional to the assigned bandwidth and inversely proportional to |
| | 7 | the desired bandwidth. |
| | | |

| 1 | 5. | (Previously added) A computer network supporting one of more pro- |
|----|----------------|---|
| 2 | esses involvir | g transmission of large amounts of data, the computer network comprising: |
| 3 | | ninistrator node, adapted to allocate nodal maximum bandwidths for one or |
| 4 | | more nodes of the network and to communicate to the one or more nodes |
| 5 | | the respective allocated nodal maximum bandwidths; and |
| 6 | a clie | nt node, adapted to receive an allocated nodal maximum bandwidth from the |
| 7 | | administrator node, and further adapted to determine current values of a |
| 8 | | set of variables related to bandwidth usage by the one or more processes at |
| 9 | | the client node and to communicate the current values to the administrator |
| 10 | | node, wherein the administrator node utilizes the current values to adjust |
| 11 | | the allocated nodal maximum bandwidths for the one or more nodes. |
| | | |
| 1 | 6. | (Previously added) The computer network of claim 5, wherein the one or |
| 2 | more proces | ses include a teleconference. |
| 1 | 7. | (Previously added) The computer network of claim 5, wherein the one or |
| 2 | more proces | sscs include a broadcasting process. |
| | 8. | (Previously added) The computer network of claim 5, wherein the one or |
| 1 | | |
| 2 | more proces | sses include a video serving process. |
| 1 | 9. | (Previously added) The computer network of claim 5, wherein the allo- |
| 2 | cated nodal | maximum bandwidth for each node is shared by all program elements at the |
| 3 | node. | / |

| 7 | 10. | (Previously added) | The computer network of | claim 5, wherein the allo- |
|---|---------------|-----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| 2 | cated nodal n | aximum bandwidth | for each node is shared by | program elements at the |
| 3 | node associat | ed with a predeterm | ined class of processes. | |
| 1 | 11. | (Previously added | The computer network of | claim 10, wherein the prede- |
| 2 | termined clas | ss of processes comp | orises the one or more proce | sses involving transmission |
| 3 | of large amo | unts of data. | | • |
| 1 | 12. | (Previously added | i) The computer network of | claim 5, wherein the admin- |
| 2 | istrator node | | , | ths for all nodes of the net- |
| 3 | work. | | | |
| 1 | 13. | (Previously adde | d) The computer network o | f claim 5, wherein the nodal |
| 2 | maximum b | | , | n of the respective nodes in |
| 3 | | | ving transmission of large a | |
| 1 | 14. | (Previously adde | d) The computer network of | of claim 5, wherein the set of |
| 2 | variables re | lated to bandwidth | sage by the one or more pro | ocesses involving transmission |
| 3 | | ounts of data compr | | : . |
| 4 | at le | east one variable ind | icating an actual usage of be | andwidth at a node by the one |
| 5 | | or more process | | an a lag a calaban |
| 6 | one | / | | of bandwidth at a node by the |
| 7 | | one or more pro | cesses in the immediate fut | ш е. |
| 1 | 15. | (Previously add | ed) The computer network | of claim 14, wherein the one or |
| 2 | | 1 | redicted usage of bandwidth | |
| 3 | a n | umber of active pro | cesses at the node that are ca | apable of transmitting data; and |
| | C 19603 | / 04504 (P1932R1) | - 5 - | 18602/06524/DCC5/1347121. |

| 4 | a number of active connections on the node, wherein each connection requires a |
|-----|---|
| 5 | separate copy of data being transmitted. |
| 1. | 16. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 5, wherein the client |
| 2 | node is further adapted to calculate a nodal happiness factor based on the set of variables |
| 3 | related to bandwidth usage by the one or more processes and on the allocated nodal |
| 4 | maximum bandwidth. |
| 1 | 17. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 5, wherein the client |
| 2 | node publishes the current values of the set of variables related to bandwidth usage at the |
| 3 | client node to be accessed by all nodes of the network |
| 1 | 18. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 5, wherein: |
| 2 | the client node is further adapted to assign portions of the allocated nodal maxi- |
| 3 | mum bandwidth among program elements at the client node, such that the |
| 4 | total of the assigned portions is not greater than the allocated maximum |
| 5 | bandwidth. |
| 1 | 19. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 18, wherein the clien |
| . 2 | node periodically calls a monitoring program for: |
| 3 | exchanging information with each program element; and |
| 4 | updating variables indicating an actual usage and a predicted usage of bandwidth |
| 5 | by each program element. |
| 1 | 20. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 19, wherein the mor |
| 2 | toring program comprises: |

JUL-22-03

11:12AM

| | and the administration of the start of the s |
|---|--|
| 3 | one or more function sets which, if manipulated by a node other than the adminis- |
| 4 | trator node, render the monitoring program unusable. |
| 1 | 21. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 19, wherein the moni- |
| 2 | toring program comprises: |
| 3 | a hacker variable which indicates whether or not any node other than the adminis- |
| 4 | trator node has attempted to turn off the monitoring program. |
| 1 | 22. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 18, wherein the client |
| 2 | node periodically calls a bandwidth allocation program for assigning portions of the allo- |
| 3 | cated nodal maximum bandwidth among program elements. |
| 1 | 23. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 22, wherein the |
| 2 | bandwidth allocation program is for: |
| 3 | determining a priority and a maximum and minimum requested bandwidth for |
| 4 | each program element; and |
| 5 | in order of priority, assigning to each program element the minimum requested |
| 6 | bandwidth, until the allocated nodal maximum bandwidth is used up; and |
| 7 | if the allocated nodal maximum bandwidth is not used up by the assigning of |
| 8 | minimum requested bandwidths, assigning additional bandwidth to each |
| 9 | program element in order of priority. |
| 1 | 24. (Previously added) The computer network of claim 18, wherein the client |
| 2 | node periodically calls a happiness query program that determines a happiness factor of |
| 3 | each program clement. |

FROM-Fenwick & West Mountain View

| | | lack |
|------------|-----------------|--|
| 1 | 25. | (Previously added) The computer network of claim 24, wherein the hap- |
| 2 | piness factor o | of a program element is an average score of happiness over all connections |
| 3 | - | program element is transmitting data. |
| 1 | 26. | (Previously added) The computer network of claim 24, wherein the hap- |
| 2 | piness factor | of each program element can be visually displayed using color coding. |
| 1 | 27. | (Previously added) The computer network of claim 24, wherein the hap- |
| 2 | piness factor | of each program element is published to be accessed by all nodes of the |
| 3 | network. | |
| 7 . | 28. | (Previously added) A computer readable medium for administering one or |
| 2 | more process | ses involving transmission of large amounts of data in a computer network, |
| 3 | | r readable medium comprising: |
| 4 | an ad | lministrator program, executable on the computer network for allocating |
| 5 | | nodal maximum bandwidths for one or more nodes of the network and |
| 6 | | communicating to the one or more nodes the respective allocated nodal |
| 7 | | maximum bandwidths; and |
| 8 | a clie | ent program, executable on the computer network for receiving an allocated |
| 9 | | nodal maximum bandwidth from the administrator program, and further |
| 10 | | for determining current values of a set of variables related to bandwidth |
| 11 | | usage by the one or more processes at the client node and communicating |
| 12 | | the current values to the administrator program, wherein the administrator |
| 13 | | program utilizes the current values to adjust the allocated nodal maximum |
| 14 | | bandwidths for the one or more nodes. |
| | | |

650 938 5200

| Į. | 29. | (Previously added) T | he computer readable med | lium of claim 28, wherein. |
|----|----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 2 | the cl | ient program is further i | for assigning portions of the | ne allocated nodal maxi- |
| 3 | | | ng program elements at a | |
| 4 | | | portions is not greater than | , |
| 5 | | bandwidth. | · | |
| 1 | 30. | (Previously added) | The computer readable me | dium of claim 28, wherein |
| 2 | the client pro | ogram further comprises | s: | |
| 3 | a mo | nitoring program for ex | changing information wit | h each program element and |
| 4 | | | dicating an actual usage a | |
| 5 | | bandwidth by each p | rogram element. | |
| 1 | 31. | (Previously added) | The computer readable m | edium of claim 28, wherein |
| 2 | the client pr | ogram further comprise | s a bandwidth allocation | program for: |
| 3 | dete | rmining a priority and a | maximum and minimum | requested bandwidth for |
| 4 | | each program eleme | , | |
| 5 | in o | rder of priority, assigning | ng to each program eleme | nt the minimum requested |
| 6 | | bandwidth until the | allocated nodal maximum | n bandwidth is used up, and |
| 7 | if th | ne allocated nodal maxi | mum bandwidth is not use | ed up by the assigning of |
| 8 | | minimum requested | l bandwidths, assigning ac | lditional bandwidth to each |
| 9 | | program element in | order of priority. | |
| 1 | 32. | (Previously added) | The computer readable m | edium of claim 28, wherein |
| 2 | | rogram further compris | • | |
| 3 | a ha | , | | ess factor of each program |
| 4 | | element, wherein th | e happiness factor of a pr | ogram element is an average |
| | Case 18602 | -06524 (P1932R1) | - 9 - | 18602/06524/DOCS/1347121 |



JUL-22-03 11:12AM FROM-Fenwick & West Mountain View

650 938 5200

T-122 P.012/017 F-242

| | score of happiness over all connections through which the program elemen |
|---|--|
| 5 | Score or mapharens and an arrangement of the second of the |
| 6 | is transmitting data. |
| 1 | 33. (Previously added) The computer readable medium of claim 32, wherein |
| 2 | the happiness query program is further for: |
| 3 | visually displaying the happiness factor of each program element using color cod- |
| 4 | ing; and |
| 5 | publishing the happiness factor of each program element at a node to be accessed |
| 6 | by all nodes of the network. |
| | |
| | |